CHALLENGES OF THE NATIONAL SHIPBUILDING INDUSTRY IN PRODUCING HIGH-TECH WARSHIPS OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA (KRI)

Panji Agung Nugroho
venomgalasa@gmail.com
Naval Command and Staff College

ABSTRACT

This study explores the challenges and deficiencies faced by Indonesia's domestic shipbuilding industry in producing high-tech warships, focusing on Kapal Perang Republik Indonesia (KRI). The research identifies key areas of concern such as technological dependencies, infrastructure gaps, integration challenges, and human resource deficiencies. Technological dependencies highlight the industry's reliance on foreign technology providers, limiting knowledge transfer and innovation within domestic shipyards. Infrastructure gaps encompass issues like inadequate dry docks and limited specialized equipment, leading to delays and inefficiencies in KRI production. Integration challenges arise from difficulties in integrating advanced weapon systems cohesively and ensuring interoperability without comprehensive testing facilities. Human resource deficiencies include shortages of skilled personnel, hindering KRI production and knowledge transfer opportunities from foreign shipbuilders.

Keywords: Kapal Perang Republik Indonesia (KRI), shipbuilding industry, high-tech warships, infrastructure gap, interoperability.

A. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia, an archipelagic nation comprising over 17,000 islands, possesses a maritime territory that is both extensive and strategically vital. The defense of this vast maritime expanse is paramount to safeguarding national interests, economic activities, and maritime resources. Central to Indonesia's maritime defense strategy are its naval forces, which play a crucial role in maintaining maritime security, conducting surveillance operations, and responding to threats in the region. Key to the effectiveness of the Indonesian Navy (Tentara Nasional Indonesia – Angkatan Laut, TNI-AL) are its warships, particularly the Kapal Perang Republik Indonesia (KRI), which are instrumental in securing the country's maritime borders and projecting naval power (Shkvarya & Zein Aidrous, 2022).

The KRI fleet encompasses a range of vessels, from frigates and corvettes to submarines and amphibious ships, equipped with advanced technologies for combat, surveillance, communication, and maritime operations. These warships are not only essential for defense but also serve diplomatic and humanitarian purposes, such as supporting disaster relief efforts and conducting maritime patrols to combat illegal fishing and piracy. As Indonesia's maritime interests expand, the need for a modern and capable KRI fleet becomes increasingly imperative (Hong et al., 2024).

While Indonesia has made strides in developing its shipbuilding industry, including commercial and civilian vessels, the domestic production of high-tech warships like the KRI remains limited. This paper delves into the key challenges that hinder the domestic construction of KRI and proposes recommendations to enhance Indonesia's naval capabilities through strengthened national shipbuilding capabilities (Popescu & Gasparotti, 2022).

The challenges facing Indonesia's domestic construction of Kapal Perang Republik Indonesia (KRI) are multifaceted, encompassing technological, infrastructural, human capital, financial, and regulatory aspects. One of the foremost challenges is the country's technological dependency on foreign sources. Advanced warships demand cutting-edge technologies for various systems like propulsion, weapons, sensors, communications, and stealth features. However, accessing and integrating these technologies into domestically built KRIs is hindered by export controls, intellectual property rights, and strategic considerations of foreign suppliers. This technological gap presents a significant hurdle for achieving self-reliance in KRI construction (Jeong, 2017).

Infrastructure and facilities pose another significant challenge. Constructing and outfitting modern warships require specialized shipyards with advanced capabilities in assembly, integration, and testing processes. However, many existing Indonesian shipyards are tailored for commercial purposes or lack the necessary infrastructure and equipment for large-scale KRI projects. Addressing this challenge necessitates substantial investments in infrastructure, skilled workforce development, and logistical support to meet the stringent requirements of modern naval construction (Xiao et al., 2022).

Human capital and expertise are crucial elements for successful KRI construction. The shortage of skilled professionals in naval architecture, marine engineering, systems integration, and naval warfare is a pressing concern. Developing a multidisciplinary workforce with expertise in maritime defense systems is essential but challenging due to limited

availability within Indonesia's shipbuilding industry. Bridging this expertise gap requires strategic investments in education, training, and collaboration with international partners to transfer knowledge and skills (Wang & Zhao, 2014).

Financial constraints also loom large in domestic KRI construction. The substantial costs involved in designing, building, and equipping advanced warships necessitate long-term financial commitments. While Indonesia's defense budget has seen growth, allocating sufficient funds for naval procurement and indigenous shipbuilding programs remains a challenge. Overcoming financial barriers may involve seeking external financing or forming partnerships, which could impact technological independence and customization of KRI (Arif et al., 2022).

The regulatory and policy framework further shapes the landscape of domestic KRI construction. Complexities in defense procurement, technology transfer, intellectual property rights, and industrial collaboration can impede the efficiency and transparency of the shipbuilding industry. Clear and supportive policies that incentivize domestic production, research, and innovation are crucial for fostering a competitive and sustainable KRI construction ecosystem. Streamlining regulatory processes and promoting collaboration between government, industry, and academia can enhance Indonesia's capabilities in indigenous naval construction and strengthen national defense (Bris et al., 2021).

Overcoming the challenges in domestic KRI construction requires a comprehensive approach that addresses technological, infrastructural, human capital, financial, and policy aspects. By enhancing national capabilities in shipbuilding and naval defense, Indonesia can strengthen its maritime security, promote technological self-reliance, support economic growth, and assert its role as a maritime power in the region.

B. METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a qualitative approach to gain a deep understanding of the challenges faced by Indonesia's national shipbuilding industry in producing high-tech warships known as Kapal Perang Republik Indonesia (KRI). The qualitative approach allows for an exploration of complex issues involving human, social, and organizational factors, providing nuanced insights into the research topic (“The Copenhagen Meeting, 4-7 October 2012,” 2013).

The research type employed is a case study, focusing specifically on the national shipbuilding industry and the intricate processes involved in KRI production. By delving into a

single case in detail, the case study method enables researchers to gather rich, context-specific data and analyze it comprehensively.

Data collection for this research involves several techniques. Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with key informants such as government officials, industry representatives, academics, and maritime experts. These interviews will provide firsthand insights, opinions, and experiences related to the challenges and dynamics within the shipbuilding industry. Additionally, participant observation will be carried out at shipyards to directly observe the KRI production process, interact with workers, and gain a deeper understanding of the operational aspects involved.

Furthermore, a thorough review of relevant documents such as government policies, industry reports, and scholarly publications will complement the primary data collection. This document review will provide contextual information, historical perspectives, and secondary data that contribute to a comprehensive analysis of the research topic.

The collected data will undergo thematic analysis, which involves identifying, categorizing, and interpreting patterns and themes within the data set. This analytical approach will help in deriving meaningful insights, making connections to the established theoretical framework, and presenting a coherent narrative that addresses the research objectives.

Ethical considerations are paramount in this research. The principles of research ethics, including obtaining informed consent from participants, ensuring confidentiality of data, and maintaining objectivity in data collection and analysis, will be strictly adhered to throughout the research process.

Literature Review

The shipbuilding industry holds strategic importance for nations worldwide, contributing significantly to economic development, national security, and technological advancement. Several research studies have delved into various aspects of this industry, exploring factors influencing its competitiveness, technological foresight, policy implications, and environmental sustainability. This literature review synthesizes key findings from recent studies to provide a comprehensive understanding of the shipbuilding landscape.

1. International Dimension in Shipbuilding Performance (Efimova & Sutyrin, 2019):

The study by Efimova and Sutyrin (2019) delves into the international dimension of shipbuilding performance, specifically focusing on Russia's shipbuilding industry and the

external factors influencing its performance (Efimova & Sutyrin, 2019). Here's an overview based on their research:

a. **Evaluating External Factors:** The research emphasizes the need to evaluate the "external component" in Russian shipbuilding, considering it as one of the nation's key "strategic industries." This evaluation encompasses a range of factors including economic conditions, market dynamics, political influences, and geopolitical considerations. These factors collectively impact the development and performance of the Russian shipbuilding sector.

b. **Post-Soviet Challenges:** Following the collapse of the Soviet Union, Russia encountered significant challenges in stabilizing its shipbuilding industry. Economic crises, market fluctuations, and political transitions added complexity to the industry's landscape. These challenges, particularly in the post-Soviet era, have contributed to the instability observed in the Russian shipbuilding sector, including fluctuations in export performance.

c. **Role of Non-Economic Factors:** The study sheds light on the significance of non-economic factors in shaping the performance of Russian shipbuilding. In addition to economic and market-driven forces, political and geopolitical considerations play a substantial role. These non-economic factors can influence export strategies, market access, technology transfers, and industry collaborations, impacting the overall performance and competitiveness of Russian shipbuilding on the global stage.

2. Technology Foresight in Shipbuilding (Vishnevskiy et al., 2017):

The study by Vishnevskiy et al. (2017) provides valuable insights into the role of technology foresight in the evolution of shipbuilding as a high-tech industry globally (Vishnevskiy et al., 2017). Here's an overview of the key points highlighted in their research:

a. **Global Evolution of Shipbuilding:** The study recognizes the significant transformation of the shipbuilding sector from traditional methods to a high-tech industry. This evolution is driven by advancements in technology, automation, digitalization, and innovation across various aspects of ship design, construction, and operation.

b. **Importance of Technology Foresight:** Technology foresight plays a crucial role in ensuring the competitiveness of the shipbuilding industry. By anticipating future technological trends, challenges, and opportunities, industry stakeholders can proactively

plan and invest in the development of capabilities, infrastructure, and processes required to stay ahead in the global market.

c. **Future Prospects of Russian Shipbuilding:** Within the context of international competition, the study examines the future prospects of Russian shipbuilding. It acknowledges the potential for Russia to leverage its strengths in engineering, manufacturing, and maritime expertise to enhance its position in the global shipbuilding landscape.

3. Territorial Innovation and Technology Impact (Chovnyuk et al., 2022):

Chovnyuk et al.'s (2022) research delves into the concept of territorial innovation and its impact on technology development, particularly in the context of urban areas. The study sheds light on the establishment of technological development centers within cities and their crucial role in driving innovation and economic prosperity (Chovnyuk et al., 2022). Here are the key points highlighted in their research:

a. **Technological Development Centers:** The research underscores the importance of creating specialized centers for technological development within urban areas. These centers serve as hubs for innovation, collaboration, and knowledge exchange among industry players, research institutions, startups, and government agencies. They play a vital role in accelerating technological advancements and fostering a conducive ecosystem for high-tech industries like shipbuilding.

b. **Fostering Innovation:** The study emphasizes that technological development centers contribute significantly to fostering innovation. By providing state-of-the-art infrastructure, access to funding, mentorship programs, and networking opportunities, these centers empower businesses and entrepreneurs to develop and commercialize innovative technologies. This, in turn, drives competitiveness and growth in high-tech industries.

c. **Economic Growth:** The establishment of technological development centers has a direct impact on economic growth within urban areas. These centers attract investments, create job opportunities, stimulate entrepreneurship, and contribute to the overall economic diversification and resilience of cities and megalopolises. The presence of thriving high-tech industries like shipbuilding enhances the region's global competitiveness and attractiveness to investors.

4. Economic Cooperation in High-Tech Sphere (Begma et al., 2021):

Begma et al.'s (2021) study delves into the dynamics of economic cooperation between Ukraine and the USA within the high-tech sphere, focusing on sectors like shipbuilding, aerospace, and electronics. The research aims to understand how such collaboration can impact global weapons markets and potentially displace Russian influence (Begma et al., 2021). Here are the key points highlighted in their study:

a. **Strategic Partnerships:** The research emphasizes the significance of strategic partnerships between Ukraine and the USA in high-tech industries. Collaborative efforts in areas like research and development, technology exchange, joint ventures, and defense contracts strengthen the capabilities and competitiveness of both countries. These partnerships enable the sharing of expertise, resources, and market access, fostering innovation and growth in critical sectors like shipbuilding.

b. **Technology Transfers:** The study underscores technology transfers as a crucial component of economic cooperation in high-tech spheres. Transferring advanced technologies, know-how, and best practices between Ukraine and the USA enhances the technical capabilities and production efficiency of domestic industries. In the context of shipbuilding, technology transfers can lead to the adoption of state-of-the-art ship design, manufacturing techniques, and naval technologies, bolstering the competitiveness of both nations in the global market.

c. **Competitiveness Enhancement:** The research highlights the role of economic cooperation in enhancing competitiveness, particularly in industries with high technological requirements. By leveraging each other's strengths, such as Ukraine's skilled workforce and cost-effective production capabilities and the USA's advanced technologies and market reach, the collaboration can lead to the development of innovative solutions and competitive advantages. This is particularly relevant in the shipbuilding sector, where technological advancements and efficient production processes are paramount.

5. Shipbuilding Policy and Industry Competitiveness (Bachtiar et al., 2021):

Bachtiar et al.'s (2021) research delves into the shipbuilding policy and industry competitiveness in Indonesia, offering insights into various factors that influence competitiveness, technology transfers, and the impact of industrial clusters (Bachtiar et al., 2021). Here are the key points from their study:

a. **Competitiveness Assessment:** The study begins by assessing the factors that contribute to the competitiveness of Indonesia's shipbuilding industry. These factors may include infrastructure, skilled labor availability, technological capabilities, government policies, market demand, and global competition. By evaluating these factors, the research aims to identify strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats within the industry landscape.

b. **Technology Transfers:** Technology transfers play a crucial role in enhancing the competitiveness of the shipbuilding sector. The research examines the mechanisms and effectiveness of technology transfers within Indonesia's shipbuilding industry, including collaborations with foreign partners, licensing agreements, knowledge sharing initiatives, and capacity-building programs. Effective technology transfers can lead to the adoption of advanced shipbuilding technologies, improved production processes, and increased competitiveness in the global market.

c. **Impact of Industrial Clusters:** Industrial clusters, characterized by the concentration of interconnected companies, suppliers, and institutions in a specific geographical area, can significantly impact industry competitiveness. The study analyzes the role of industrial clusters in fostering collaboration, innovation, economies of scale, knowledge spillovers, and supply chain efficiencies within Indonesia's shipbuilding sector. Understanding the dynamics of industrial clusters helps in devising strategies to strengthen synergies and competitiveness among cluster participants.

6. UK Shipbuilding Policy and Naval Procurement (Stott, 2023):

Stott's (2023) study delves into the UK's shipbuilding policy and naval procurement strategies, shedding light on key challenges and proposing revitalization measures (Stott, 2023). Here are the main points covered in the research:

a. **Historical Legacies:** The study acknowledges the historical legacies that have shaped the UK's shipbuilding industry. These legacies may include past successes, challenges, shifts in global demand, technological advancements, and changes in government priorities. Understanding these historical contexts is essential for crafting effective policies and strategies that build upon strengths and address weaknesses.

b. **Industrial Maturity:** The research assesses the current state of the UK's shipbuilding industry in terms of industrial maturity. This includes evaluating the

capabilities of existing shipyards, workforce expertise, technological infrastructure, innovation ecosystems, supply chain resilience, and market positioning. By gauging the industry's maturity level, the study identifies areas for improvement and potential pathways for growth and competitiveness.

7. Low-Carbon Technology in Shipbuilding (Liu et al., 2024):

Liu et al.'s (2024) research delves into the critical domain of low-carbon technology within the shipbuilding sector, focusing on its environmental implications and sustainability strategies (Liu et al., 2024). Here are the key points covered in their study:

a. **Environmental Concerns:** The study acknowledges the pressing environmental concerns associated with traditional shipbuilding practices, including carbon emissions, pollution, and ecosystem impacts. These concerns have spurred a global shift towards adopting low-carbon technologies and sustainable practices across industries, including shipbuilding. Liu et al. emphasize the urgency of addressing these concerns to mitigate environmental damage and align with international sustainability goals.

b. **Technology Selection:** The research evaluates various low-carbon technologies available for adoption within the shipbuilding industry. This includes assessing alternative fuels, energy-efficient propulsion systems, emissions reduction technologies, sustainable materials, waste management practices, and green design principles. The study explores the feasibility, effectiveness, and cost implications of integrating these technologies into shipbuilding processes to reduce carbon footprints and environmental impact.

C. DISCUSSION

Technological Limitations

Expertise Gap

The expertise gap in domestic shipyards is a critical issue that impacts the development and integration of complex systems essential for modern warships. This gap primarily revolves around the lack of in-house expertise required to design, develop, and integrate sophisticated technologies like combat management systems (CMS) and advanced sensor suites into naval vessels. This gap presents challenges and limitations for domestic shipyards, especially in achieving technological self-sufficiency, fostering innovation, and ensuring strategic autonomy in defense capabilities.

One of the primary concerns regarding the expertise gap is the overreliance on foreign technology providers. Many domestic shipyards often depend on foreign suppliers for key technologies and systems due to the absence of in-house expertise. While collaboration with international partners can bring access to advanced technologies, it also poses challenges such as limited knowledge transfer, technology dependency, and potential constraints on customization and adaptation to specific operational needs.

The lack of in-house expertise hinders the ability of domestic shipyards to engage in comprehensive technology development and innovation. Developing advanced systems like CMS and sensor suites requires a deep understanding of naval architecture, electronic systems, software development, cybersecurity, and integration processes. Without sufficient expertise in these areas, domestic shipyards may struggle to design and implement cutting-edge solutions that meet the evolving demands of modern naval warfare.

Furthermore, the reliance on foreign technology providers can lead to challenges in terms of intellectual property rights (IPR) and proprietary knowledge. Foreign suppliers often retain control over key technologies, limiting the transfer of critical knowledge and capabilities to domestic entities. This not only affects the ability of domestic shipyards to innovate independently but also raises concerns about data security, sovereignty, and long-term technological sustainability.

The expertise gap also impacts the overall competitiveness of domestic shipyards in the global defense market. Shipbuilding is a highly competitive industry, and countries with strong indigenous capabilities in technology development and integration have a significant advantage. The lack of expertise in complex systems like CMS and advanced sensors can make domestic shipyards less attractive to potential clients and partners, affecting their ability to secure contracts and collaborations in international defense projects.

To address the expertise gap, strategic initiatives are needed to build and enhance in-house capabilities within domestic shipyards. This includes investing in education and training programs to develop a skilled workforce with expertise in critical areas such as naval engineering, systems integration, software development, cybersecurity, and project management. Collaborative research and development (R&D) efforts involving academia, industry, and government can also foster innovation and knowledge creation in advanced naval technologies.

Moreover, fostering a conducive ecosystem for technology transfer, collaboration, and co-development between domestic and international partners is essential. This involves establishing clear frameworks for IPR protection, knowledge sharing, joint R&D projects, and technology localization to ensure that domestic shipyards can leverage foreign expertise while also building indigenous capabilities over time.

Integration Challenges

Integrating advanced weapon systems into a cohesive unit poses significant challenges, particularly for domestic shipyards that may lack the experience, infrastructure, and testing capabilities required for seamless interoperability. This integration process involves bringing together a diverse range of technologies, subsystems, and components to create a functional and effective combat system onboard naval vessels. However, without the necessary expertise and resources, shipyards may face several obstacles in achieving successful integration.

One of the primary challenges is the complexity of integrating diverse weapon systems with different functionalities and interfaces. Modern naval vessels are equipped with a wide array of advanced weapons, including missiles, guns, torpedoes, electronic warfare systems, radar systems, and communication systems. Each of these systems operates independently and requires integration into a unified combat management system (CMS) to ensure coordinated and effective operations during combat scenarios. Achieving seamless interoperability among these systems requires expertise in software integration, system engineering, interface standardization, and compatibility testing.

Moreover, domestic shipyards may encounter challenges related to system compatibility and interface issues during the integration process. Different weapon systems often use proprietary protocols, communication standards, data formats, and control interfaces, making it challenging to establish seamless communication and data exchange among them. Without standardized interfaces and protocols, integrating these systems into a unified CMS becomes complex and may result in interoperability issues, communication failures, and performance limitations during operational deployment.

Another critical aspect of integration challenges is the lack of comprehensive testing infrastructure and capabilities within domestic shipyards. The integration of advanced weapon systems necessitates rigorous testing and validation to ensure functionality, reliability, safety, and performance under various operational scenarios and environmental conditions. This

includes conducting system integration testing (SIT), interoperability testing, performance testing, cybersecurity testing, and mission simulation to identify and address potential issues before deployment.

However, domestic shipyards may face limitations in conducting comprehensive testing due to factors such as inadequate testing facilities, limited access to advanced simulation tools, insufficient test protocols, and resource constraints. Without robust testing capabilities, shipyards may struggle to validate the integrated systems' functionality, identify potential vulnerabilities or weaknesses, and optimize performance to meet operational requirements effectively.

Furthermore, the integration of advanced weapon systems involves coordination and collaboration among multiple stakeholders, including technology providers, defense contractors, government agencies, and naval personnel. Effective communication, project management, and coordination mechanisms are essential to ensure alignment in system requirements, specifications, timelines, and quality standards throughout the integration process. However, the complexity of stakeholder management, contractual agreements, and information sharing can pose additional challenges and delays in achieving successful integration outcomes.

To address these integration challenges, domestic shipyards need to adopt a comprehensive approach that encompasses expertise development, infrastructure enhancement, testing capabilities, stakeholder collaboration, and project management best practices. Investing in talent development programs, training initiatives, and knowledge transfer from international partners can build in-house capabilities in system integration, software engineering, cybersecurity, and testing methodologies.

Moreover, upgrading testing facilities, investing in simulation tools, establishing standardized testing protocols, and conducting thorough validation and verification processes can improve the quality, reliability, and performance of integrated weapon systems. Collaborative partnerships with technology providers, defense contractors, and government agencies can facilitate knowledge exchange, access to advanced technologies, and best practices in system integration and interoperability.

Additionally, implementing effective project management frameworks, communication channels, and governance structures can streamline the integration process, mitigate risks, ensure stakeholder alignment, and facilitate timely decision-making throughout the project

lifecycle. By addressing these integration challenges systematically, domestic shipyards can enhance their capabilities in integrating advanced weapon systems and contribute to the development of cutting-edge naval capabilities for national defense and security.

Infrastructure Gaps

Infrastructure gaps pose significant challenges to the construction of high-tech warships, such as Kapal Perang Republik Indonesia (KRI). These challenges encompass various aspects, from physical facilities like dry docks to specialized equipment necessary for precise shipbuilding processes. Addressing these infrastructure gaps is crucial for enhancing efficiency, quality, and capacity in KRI construction.

Dry Dock Constraints

One of the primary infrastructure gaps is related to dry dock constraints. Dry docks are essential facilities for assembling, repairing, and maintaining ships. However, existing dry docks may face limitations in size and capacity, particularly when dealing with larger hulls and the intricate construction processes of high-tech warships. These constraints can result in logistical challenges, workflow disruptions, and delays in production schedules. Moreover, inadequate dry docks may restrict the simultaneous construction of multiple KRIs, impacting the overall capacity and output of domestic shipyards.

To overcome dry dock constraints, investment in expanding and modernizing dry dock facilities is imperative. This includes constructing larger dry docks capable of accommodating modern warship sizes, implementing advanced docking and lifting systems, and optimizing workflow layouts to enhance operational efficiency. By upgrading dry dock infrastructure, shipyards can streamline production processes, reduce downtime, and increase the capacity for KRI construction, thus addressing one of the key infrastructure gaps.

Another critical infrastructure gap lies in the availability of specialized equipment tailored for high-precision shipbuilding. Ship construction requires a range of specialized tools, machinery, and equipment, including cranes, welding machines, cutting tools, robotic systems, and measurement instruments. However, domestic shipyards may face challenges in accessing or acquiring state-of-the-art equipment designed for advanced shipbuilding techniques and materials used in modern warships.

Limited Equipment

The lack of specialized equipment can impact the quality, accuracy, and efficiency of KRI construction. For instance, inadequate welding equipment or outdated machinery may lead to

welding defects, structural weaknesses, and rework, compromising the integrity and performance of KRIs. Similarly, the absence of advanced measurement tools or robotic systems for precision assembly can result in dimensional inaccuracies, alignment issues, and reduced productivity during construction.

To address equipment limitations, domestic shipyards need to invest in modernizing their equipment inventory. This involves procuring advanced cranes, welding machines, cutting tools, automated manufacturing systems, digital modeling software, and quality control devices specifically designed for high-tech shipbuilding processes. Additionally, training programs for shipyard personnel on the use of new equipment and techniques are essential to maximize operational efficiency and ensure quality standards in KRI construction.

Furthermore, establishing partnerships with equipment manufacturers, technology suppliers, and research institutions can facilitate access to cutting-edge shipbuilding technologies and best practices. Collaborative initiatives for technology transfer, knowledge sharing, and joint research and development (R&D) can accelerate the adoption of innovative equipment solutions tailored for KRI construction. By addressing infrastructure gaps related to equipment, shipyards can enhance their capabilities, productivity, and competitiveness in building high-tech warships like KRIs.

In conclusion, infrastructure gaps in dry docks and specialized equipment pose significant challenges to KRI construction. Investing in expanding and modernizing dry dock facilities, acquiring advanced equipment, and fostering partnerships for technology transfer are essential strategies to address these infrastructure gaps. By enhancing infrastructure capabilities, domestic shipyards can improve efficiency, quality, and capacity in KRI construction, contributing to the development of Indonesia's naval defense capabilities and maritime industry competitiveness.

Human Resource Deficiencies

Human resource deficiencies present significant challenges to the production of Kapal Perang Republik Indonesia (KRI). These challenges primarily revolve around a shortage of skilled personnel and the limited opportunities for knowledge transfer from established foreign shipbuilders. Addressing these deficiencies is crucial for developing a capable and self-sufficient workforce to support domestic KRI production effectively.

One of the critical human resource deficiencies is the shortage of a skilled workforce. This shortage encompasses various roles essential for KRI production, including naval

architects, marine engineers, technicians, and specialized craftsmen. Naval architects play a pivotal role in designing warships, ensuring they meet stringent performance, safety, and operational requirements. Marine engineers are responsible for developing and integrating complex propulsion systems, while technicians and craftsmen execute precision tasks in ship construction, outfitting, and systems installation.

The scarcity of qualified personnel in these roles can lead to delays, errors, and inefficiencies in KRI production. For instance, without sufficient naval architects, shipyards may struggle to design KRIs that optimize performance, stealth capabilities, and mission readiness. Similarly, a shortage of marine engineers can hinder the integration of advanced propulsion technologies, reducing the operational efficiency and combat effectiveness of KRIs. Additionally, the absence of skilled technicians and craftsmen can result in quality issues, rework, and cost overruns during ship construction and outfitting processes.

To address the skilled workforce shortage, concerted efforts are needed to enhance training, education, and recruitment initiatives in relevant fields. This includes collaborating with educational institutions to develop specialized curricula, certifications, and training programs tailored to warship design, marine engineering, shipbuilding techniques, and advanced systems integration. Industry-academic partnerships can facilitate the exchange of knowledge, practical skills, and best practices, ensuring that graduates are well-equipped to contribute effectively to KRI production.

Furthermore, incentivizing career paths and professional development opportunities in the maritime defense sector can attract and retain talent in critical roles. Offering competitive salaries, benefits, job security, and advancement prospects can motivate skilled professionals to pursue careers in KRI production, naval architecture, marine engineering, and related disciplines. Additionally, fostering a supportive and innovative work environment that encourages collaboration, creativity, and continuous learning is essential for nurturing a skilled and motivated workforce.

Another human resource deficiency impacting KRI production is the knowledge transfer gap from established foreign shipbuilders. Limited opportunities for knowledge exchange, technology transfer, and collaboration with international experts can hinder the development of indigenous capabilities in warship design, construction, and systems integration. Without access to best practices, cutting-edge technologies, and lessons learned from experienced shipbuilders, domestic shipyards may struggle to achieve world-class standards in KRI production.

To bridge the knowledge transfer gap, strategic partnerships, joint ventures, and technology-sharing agreements with reputable foreign shipbuilders can be pursued. These collaborations can facilitate the transfer of expertise, technologies, design methodologies, and quality assurance practices relevant to high-tech warship construction. Leveraging international networks, industry forums, and participation in global maritime exhibitions and conferences can also expose domestic shipyards to the latest advancements, trends, and innovations in naval defense technologies.

Furthermore, investing in research and development (R&D) initiatives, innovation centers, and technology incubators within the domestic shipbuilding ecosystem can stimulate indigenous innovation and knowledge creation. Encouraging cross-disciplinary collaboration, fostering a culture of continuous improvement, and incentivizing technology adoption and adaptation can accelerate the development of indigenous capabilities in KRI production and maritime defense technology.

D. CONCLUSION

The discussion highlights significant challenges and deficiencies in Indonesia's efforts to produce high-tech warships like Kapal Perang Republik Indonesia (KRI). These challenges include technological dependencies, infrastructure gaps, integration challenges, and human resource deficiencies. The reliance on foreign technology providers limits knowledge transfer, leading to an expertise gap within domestic shipyards. Furthermore, infrastructure constraints, such as inadequate dry docks and limited specialized equipment, hinder efficient KRI production. Integrating advanced weapon systems and ensuring seamless interoperability pose additional challenges without sufficient experience and testing capabilities.

The shortage of a skilled workforce, including naval architects, marine engineers, and technicians, further impedes KRI production. Limited knowledge transfer opportunities from established foreign shipbuilders exacerbate this issue. Addressing these challenges requires comprehensive strategies, including enhancing education and training programs, fostering industry-academic partnerships, and promoting international collaborations. Bridging the knowledge transfer gap and investing in infrastructure and human capital development are crucial for Indonesia to achieve self-sufficiency and competitiveness in high-tech warship production.

E. REFERENCES

Arif, M. S., Hutabarat, D. O. B., Pribadi, T. W., Wahidi, S. I., Supomo, H., & Pribadi, S. R. W. (2022). ANALYSIS OF THE FACTORS AFFECTING THE COST OF SHIP REPAIRS IN THE ISLAND OF JAVA AND KALIMANTAN. *Journal of Marine-Earth Science and Technology*, *3*(1). https://doi.org/10.12962/j27745449.v3i1.449

Bachtiar, A. I., Marimin, Adrianto, L., & Bura, R. O. (2021). Determinants of shipbuilding industry competitive factors and institutional model analysis. *Decision Science Letters*, *10*(2). https://doi.org/10.5267/j.dsl.2020.11.004

Begma, V., Shemayev, V., Radov, D., & Tolok, P. (2021). PRIORITY DIRECTIONS OF ECONOMIC COOPERATION BETWEEN UKRAINE AND THE USA IN THE HIGH-TECH SPHERE. *Strategic Panorama*, *1–2*. https://doi.org/10.53679/2616-9460.1-2.2021.08

Bris, A., Wang, T. Y. H., Zatzick, C. D., Miller, D. J. P., Fern, M. J., Cardinal, L. B., Gregoire, D. A., Shepherd, D. A., Westphal, J. D., Shani, G., Troster, C., Van Quaquebeke, N., Lanaj, K., Hollenbeck, J. R., Ilgen, D. R., Barnes, C. M., Harmon, S. J., Feldman, E. R., DesJardine, M. R., … Sangiorgi, F. (2021). KNIGHTS, RAIDERS, AND TARGETS - THE IMPACT OF THE HOSTILE TAKEOVER - COFFEE,JC, LOWENSTEIN,L, ROSEACKERMAN,S. *JOURNAL OF BANKING & FINANCE*, *37*(1).

Chovnyuk, Y., Kozupytsia, S., Cherednichenko, P., & Ostapushchenko, O. (2022). ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION AND TRANSPORT IMPACT ON THE TERRITORIAL INNOVATION AND TECHNOLOGICAL FORMATIONS CREATION IN THE CITY. *Current Problems of Architecture and Urban Planning*, *63*. https://doi.org/10.32347/2077-3455.2022.63.349-357

Efimova, E., & Sutyrin, S. (2019). International dimension in the performance of the Russian shipbuilding cluster. *Region: Regional Studies of Russia, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1353/reg.2019.0004

Hong, P. C., Park, Y. S., Hwang, D. W., & Sepehr, M. J. (2024). A growth theory perspective on the competitive landscape of shipbuilding: a comparative study of Japan, Korea, and China. *Maritime Economics and Logistics*. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41278-023-00279-5

Jeong, H.-Y. (2017). Does the Forty Year's Success Myth of the Korea Shipbuilding Industry Come to an End? *Journal of Economics and Public Finance*, *3*(2). https://doi.org/10.22158/jepf.v3n2p151

Liu, J., Liao, R., Dong, F., Huang, C., Li, H., Liu, J., & Zhao, T. (2024). Low-carbon technology selection and carbon reduction potential assessment in the shipbuilding industry with dynamically changing grid emission factors. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *441*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.140707

Popescu, G., & Gasparotti, C. (2022). SWOT-AHP HYBRID METHOD FOR RANKING THE STRATEGIES IN THE SHIPBUILDING SECTOR. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, *23*(3). https://doi.org/10.3846/jbem.2022.17029

Shkvarya, L. V., & Zein Aidrous, I. A. (2022). To the Issue of the Role of Shipbuilding as a Catalyst for Technological Progress in Russian Industry and Economy at the beginning of the XX century. *Bylye Gody*, *17*(3). https://doi.org/10.13187/BG.2022.3.1414

Stott, P. (2023). Shipbuilding Policy in the UK: The Legacy of a Century of Decline and its Influence on Naval Procurement. *RUSI Journal*, *168*(5). https://doi.org/10.1080/03071847.2023.2250389

The Copenhagen Meeting, 4-7 October 2012. (2013). *Technology and Culture*, *54*(1). https://doi.org/10.1353/tech.2013.0048

Vishnevskiy, K., Karasev, O., Meissner, D., Razheva, A., & Klubova, M. (2017). Technology foresight in asset intensive industries: The case of Russian shipbuilding. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *119*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.05.001

Wang, H., & Zhao, Y. (2014). Studies of the construction and evaluation of green shipbuilding supply chain system. *Energy Education Science and Technology Part A: Energy Science and Research*, *32*(5).

Xiao, W., He, M., Wei, Z., & Wang, N. (2022). SWLC-DT: An Architecture for Ship Whole Life Cycle Digital Twin Based on Vertical–Horizontal Design. *Machines*, *10*(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/machines10110998

F. BIOGRAPHY

LCDR PANJI AGUNG NUGROHO

He is an officer at Indonesian Navy. He is a graduate of Indonesian Naval Academy 54th Batch year 2008. He holds a Master of Engineering Management from University of Wollongong Australia in 2019. He is currently studying in Indonesian Naval Command and Staff College. He has extensive experience in the field of ship maintenance and budget planning.